

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,232	07/31/2003		John E. Schreiber	Serie 6041	2544	
7590 06/20/2006				EXAMINER		
Air Liquide Suite 1800				DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES		
2700 Post Oak Blvd.				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Houston, TX 77056			3744			
				DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	10/632,232	SCHREIBER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William C. Doerrler	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ma	av 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-30 and 45-50</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-30</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-27 and 45-50</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/632,232

Art Unit: 3744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 14-27 and 45-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the '896 Japanese reference from the IDS in view of Hyde (5,426,948).

The Japanese '896 Japanese reference discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a method for forming dry ice with entrained ozone, substantially as claimed with the exception of cooling the carbon dioxide by expansion and forming the dry ice into blocks or pellets. Hyde a dry ice forming machine that expands liquid carbon dioxide to form

Art Unit: 3744

dry ice which may be pressed into blocks or pellets. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of Hyde to modify the dry ice system of the '896 Japanese reference by forming the produced solid carbon dioxide into blocks or pellets to provide the size and shape that best fits the requirements of many different situations and to use the expansion of the liquid for the cooling to avoid the need for a cooling system. In regard to claim 22, the pressure of the liquid carbon dioxide is not given in Hyde, but is seen as a matter of obvious design choice for an ordinary practitioner in the art since it is well known that the liquid need to be pressurized, but overpressurization will result in wasted energy. In regard to claims 25,26 and 49, the provision of high pressure liquid carbon dioxide which is expanded either prior to or during the entrainment of the ozone is seen as obvious design choice for an ordinary practitioner to maximize the entrainment while preserving safety in a system which may accept products at various pressures which are convenient for the transport of the products, not the reactions that they will be used for.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 28-30 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5-22-2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's amendment to claim that the dry ice is formed by expansion has overcome the 102 rejection using the Japanese reference. The examiner agrees with applicants' statement (page 7 of the latest response) that Hyde uses the traditional

dry ice forming method, which is expanding a liquid stream. The use of the traditional expansion method is well known by one of ordinary skill in the art. Nevertheless, Hyde would direct one of ordinary skill in the art to use an expansion cooling system to produce dry ice without the need for an expensive refrigeration system.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

**WCD**